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Abstract. Hyphenation is at the core of every document preparation system, being that typesetting system such as \TeX{} or modern web browser. For every language, there have to be algorithms, rules, or patterns hyphenating according to that. We are proposing the development of generic hyphenation patterns for a set of languages sharing the same principles, e.g., for all syllable-based languages. We have tested this idea by the development of Czechoslovak hyphenation patterns. At the minimal price of a tiny increase in the size of hyphenation patterns, we have shown that further development of universal syllabic hyphenation patterns is feasible.
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“Any respectable word processing package includes a hyphenation facility. Those based on an algorithm, also called logic systems, often break words incorrectly.”

Major Keary in [6]

1 Introduction

Hyphenation is at the core of every document preparation system, be it \TeX{} or any modern web browser.\footnote{Cascading style sheet version 3 hyphenation implementation is supported in Firefox and Safari since 2011.} There are about 5,000 languages supported by Unicode Consortium that are still in use today. In a digital typography system supporting Unicode and its languages in full, there should be support: algorithms, rules, or language hyphenation patterns. Recently, there were attempts to tackle the word segmentation problem in different languages by Shao et al. [9], primarily for speech recognition or language representation tasks, where the algorithm is error-prone – small number of errors is tolerated. On the contrary, in a typesetting system like \TeX{}, errors in hyphenation are not tolerated at all – all exceptions have to be covered by the algorithm.

Current typesetting support in the \TeX{}live distribution contains 8 hyphenation patterns for about 80 different languages. All these patterns have to be loaded into \TeX{}s memory at the start of every compilation, which slows down compilation significantly.

There are essentially two quite different approaches to hyphenation:
etymology-based Rule is to cut word on the border of a compound word or the border of the stem and ending or prefix or negation. A typical example is British hyphenation rules created by the Oxford University Press [1].

phonology-based Hyphenation based on the pronunciation of syllables allows reading text with hyphenated lines similarly or the same as if the hyphenation were not there. This pragmatic approach is preferred by the American publishers [4] and the Chicago Manual of Style [2].

In this paper, we evaluate the feasibility of the development of universal phonology-based (syllabic) hyphenation patterns. We describe the development from word lists of Czech [11,15,12] and Slovak [14] used on the web pages. We describe the reproducible approach, and document the reproducible workflow and resources in the public repositories as a language resource and methods to be followed.

"Hyphenation does not lend itself to any set of unequivocal rules. Indeed, the many exceptions and disagreements suggest it is all something dreamed up at an anarchists' convention." Major Keary in [6]

2 Methods

The core idea is to develop common hyphenation patterns for phonology-based languages. In the case these languages share the pronunciation rules, homographs from different languages typically do not cause problems, as they are hyphenated the same. The rare cases that hyphenation is dictated by the seam of compound word contrary to phonology (ro-zum vs. roz-um) could be solved by not allowing the hyphenation.

Recently, we have shown that the approach to generate hyphenation patterns from word list by program patgen is unreasonably effective [16]. One can set the parameters of the generation process so that the patterns cover 100% of hyphenation points, and the size of the patterns remains reasonably small. For the Czech language, hyphenation points from 3,000,000 hyphenated words are squeezed into 30,000 bytes of patterns, stored as in the compressed trie data structure. That means achieving a compression ratio of several orders of magnitude with 100% coverage and nearly zero errors. [16] For a similar language such as Slovak, the pronunciation is very similar, syllable-forming principles are the same, and also compositional rules and prefixes are pretty close, if not identical.

We have decided to verify the approach by developing hyphenation patterns that will hyphenate both Czech and Slovak words without errors, with only a few missed hyphens. That means that only words like oblít will not be hyphenated, because the typesetting system cannot decide in which meaning the word is used: o-blít or ob-lít.

To generate these hyphenation patterns, we needed to create lists of correctly hyphenated Czech and Slovak words.
Data Preparation

For our work, word lists with frequencies for Czech and Slovak were donated from the TenTen family of corpora [5,7]. Only words that occurred more than ten times were used in further processing.

Czech word list was cleaned up and extended as described by us in [16], using Czech morphological analyzer majka. Final word list cs-all-cstenten.wls has 606,494 words.

For Slovak, we have got 1,048,860 Slovak words with frequency higher than 10 from SkTenTen corpora from 2011 [5]. Filtering only words containing ISO-Latin2 characters we obtained file sk-all-latin2.wls with 991,552 words.

Together, we have 1,319,334 Czech and Slovak words in cssk-all-join.wls, of which 139,356 were contained in both word lists: cssk-all-intersect.wls.

Pattern Development

The workflow of Czechoslovak pattern development is illustrated in Figure 1 on the following page. We have used recent accurate Czech patterns [16] for hyphenation of the joint Czech and Slovak word list. We had to manually fix bad hyphenation typically near the prefix and stem of words when phoneme-based hyphenation was one character close to the seam of the prefix or compound word: neja-traktivnější, neja-teističtější, neje-kologičtější.

We have then hyphenated words used in both languages also by current Slovak patterns. There were only a few word hyphenations that needed to be corrected – we created the sk-corrections.wlh that contained the fixed hyphenated words. Finally, we used them with a higher weight to generate final Czechoslovak hyphenated patterns.

Results

We have tried several parameters to generate Czechoslovak patterns, namely those developed in previous research [16], e.g. parameters for size- and correct-optimized set of patterns. After short fine tuning we have generated patterns that are both correct (Table 2 on page 67) and small (Table 1 on page 67). That means that patgen was able to generalize hyphenation rules common for both languages with a negligible enlargement of the generated patterns.

We have made all results and workflow reproducible by putting all files and necessary software (scripts, Makefiles) publicly available in our repository [10].
Fig. 1. The development process of the new Czechoslovak patterns. Bootstrapping with Czech patterns, checking and fixing with higher weight Slovak words that are common with Czech ones.
Table 1. Statistics from the generation of Czechoslovak hyphenation patterns with size optimized parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Patterns</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Missed</th>
<th>Lengths</th>
<th>Params</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>4,126,566</td>
<td>917,233</td>
<td>37,871</td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>1 2 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,651</td>
<td>3,610,957</td>
<td>1,244</td>
<td>553,480</td>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>2 1 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,031</td>
<td>4,153,820</td>
<td>21,816</td>
<td>10,617</td>
<td>3 5</td>
<td>1 4 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,647</td>
<td>4,150,588</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13,849</td>
<td>4 7</td>
<td>3 2 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Statistics from the generation of Czechoslovak hyphenation patterns with correct optimized parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Patterns</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Missed</th>
<th>Lengths</th>
<th>Params</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,221</td>
<td>4,082,523</td>
<td>345,325</td>
<td>81,914</td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>1 5 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,237</td>
<td>4,071,117</td>
<td>9,416</td>
<td>93,320</td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>1 5 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,337</td>
<td>4,164,049</td>
<td>11,001</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>1 3 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,647</td>
<td>4,162,859</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,625</td>
<td>2 7</td>
<td>1 3 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of the efficiency of different approaches to hyphenating Czech and Slovak. Note that the Czechoslovak patterns are comparable in size and quality to single-language ones – there is only a negligible difference compared e.g., to purely Czech patterns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word list</th>
<th>Patterns</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Missed</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th># Patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Czechoslovak</td>
<td>sizeopt</td>
<td>99.67%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
<td>32 kB</td>
<td>5,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czechoslovak</td>
<td>correctopt</td>
<td>99.96%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>48 kB</td>
<td>8,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech</td>
<td>correctopt&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99.76%</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>30 kB</td>
<td>5,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech</td>
<td>sizeopt&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>98.95%</td>
<td>2.80%</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>19 kB</td>
<td>3,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak</td>
<td>[13 Table 1, patgen]</td>
<td>99.94%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>56 kB</td>
<td>2,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak</td>
<td>[8 by hand]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20 kB</td>
<td>2,467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Esoteric Nonsense? Hyphenation is neither anarchy nor the sole province of pedants and pedagogues… If the author wants to attract and hold an audience, then hyphenation needs just as careful attention as any other aspect of presentation.”

Major Keary in [6]

3 Conclusion and Future Works

We have shown that the development of common hyphenation patterns for languages with similar pronunciation is feasible. The resulting Czechoslovak patterns are only slightly bigger than single-language patterns and hyphenate the source word list without a single error.

Development is expected to continue in the repository [10]. Final word lists and versions of hyphenation patterns will be deposited into the LINDAT-Clarin archive.
We will double-check the hyphenated word lists with members of Czechoslovak \TeX{} Users Group ČsTUG. We will finally offer the new patterns for “Czechoslovak language” to the \TeX{}live distribution, creating the first language support package to be shared by multiple languages.
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