Lambda Functions, Ranges, Algorithm and Functional Library PV264 Advanced Programming in C++

Nikola Beneš Jan Mrázek Vladimír Štill

Faculty of Informatics, Masaryk University

Autumn 2020

```
int foo(int a, int b) {
    return a * 3 + b;
}
How to create a pointer to a function?
```

```
int foo(int a, int b) {
    return a * 3 + b;
}
How to create a pointer to a function?
int main() {
    auto f = foo;
    std::cout << f(3, 8) << '\n';
}
What is the type of f?</pre>
```

```
int foo(int a, int b) {
    return a * 3 + b;
}
How to create a pointer to a function?
int main() {
    auto f = foo;
    std::cout << f(3, 8) << '\n';
}
What is the type of f?
  int(*)(int, int)
```

```
int foo(int a, int b) {
    return a * 3 + b;
}
```

```
The type of the foo function is int(int, int)
using FooType = int(int, int);
FooType *ptrToFoo = foo;
using FooPtrType = int (*)(int, int);
FooPtrType ptrToFoo2 = foo;
```

```
int foo(int a, int b) {
    return a * 3 + b;
}
The type of the foo function is int(int, int)
using FooType = int(int, int);
FooType *ptrToFoo = foo;
using FooPtrType = int (*)(int, int);
FooPtrType ptrToFoo2 = foo;
```

The older way: typedef int (*FooPtrT)(int, int);

```
int foo(int a, int b) {
    return a * 3 + b;
}
The type of the foo function is int(int, int)
using FooType = int(int, int);
FooType *ptrToFoo = foo;
```

```
using FooPtrType = int (*)(int, int);
```

```
FooPtrType ptrToFoo2 = foo;
```

```
The older way: typedef int (*FooPtrT)(int, int);
What is int (*getFun(char op))(int, int);?
```

```
int foo(int a, int b) {
    return a * 3 + b;
}
The type of the foo function is int(int, int)
using FooType = int(int, int);
FooType *ptrToFoo = foo;
using FooPtrType = int (*)(int, int);
FooPtrType ptrToFoo2 = foo;
```

The older way: typedef int (*FooPtrT)(int, int);
What is int (*getFun(char op))(int, int);?

Note: Functions get automatically cast to function pointers and vice versa. Thus foo, &foo, and *foo behave the same if foo is a function.

```
struct X {
    int foo(int a, int b) { return a * 3 + b; }
};
How to create a pointer to a member function?
```

```
struct X {
    int foo(int a, int b) { return a * 3 + b; }
};
How to create a pointer to a member function?
int main() {
    X x;
    auto f = &X::foo;
}
    What is the type of f?
```

```
struct X {
    int foo(int a, int b) { return a * 3 + b; }
};
How to create a pointer to a member function?
int main() {
    X x;
    auto f = &X::foo;
}
  What is the type of f?
      int (X::*)(int, int)
  How can we call f?
```

```
struct X {
    int foo(int a, int b) { return a * 3 + b; }
};
How to create a pointer to a member function?
int main() {
    X x;
    auto f = &X::foo;
}
  What is the type of f?
      int (X::*)(int, int)
  How can we call f?
      (x.*f)(3, 8)
      (ptrToX->*f)(3, 8)
  Is the ampersand necessary?
```

```
struct X {
    int foo(int a, int b) { return a * 3 + b; }
};
How to create a pointer to a member function?
int main() {
    X x;
    auto f = &X::foo;
}
  What is the type of f?
      int (X::*)(int, int)
  How can we call f?
      (x.*f)(3, 8)
      (ptrToX->*f)(3, 8)
  Is the ampersand necessary?
      Yes. Rules for taking the address of a member function are different
        from the old C rules for plain functions.
```

It is often useful to be able to create a function just for one use – an anonymous function.

a lambda function that takes int and returns bool

It is often useful to be able to create a function just for one use – an anonymous function.

a lambda function that takes int and returns bool

```
[](int x) { return x > 42; }
```

- empty capture list
- does not have access to any local variables in its scope

It is often useful to be able to create a function just for one use – an anonymous function.

a lambda function that takes int and returns bool

[](int x) { return x > 42; }

argument list as for normal function

It is often useful to be able to create a function just for one use – an anonymous function.

a lambda function that takes int and returns bool

```
[](auto x) { return x > 42; }
```

- argument list as for normal function
- including auto §14
 - useful if the type cannot be known beforehand
 - or to simplify

It is often useful to be able to create a function just for one use – an anonymous function.

a lambda function that takes int and returns bool

```
[](std::integral auto x) { return x > 42; }
```

- argument list as for normal function
- including auto §14
 - useful if the type cannot be known beforehand
 - or to simplify
- can be variadic

It is often useful to be able to create a function just for one use – an anonymous function.

a lambda function that takes int and returns bool

[](int x) { return x > 42; }

- normal function body
- return type deduced
 - single-expression lambdas G11
 - all lambdas (all returns of the same type) ig14

It is often useful to be able to create a function just for one use – an anonymous function.

a lambda function that takes int and returns bool

[](int x) -> bool { return x > 42; }

return type can be explicit

The power of lambda functions is in captures.

 the lambda can call member functions of Bar because it captures this and p (by value)

The power of lambda functions is in captures.

value capture: values copied to lambda

The power of lambda functions is in captures.

```
[this, p](int x) mutable { good(x + p++); }
```

value capture: values copied to lambda

mutable can be used to allow modification of the copies

The power of lambda functions is in captures.

[this, &p](int x) { good(x + p); }

reference capture: p captured by reference, original can be modified

this cannot be be caught by reference

The power of lambda functions is in captures.

- [=, this](int x) { good(x + p); }
 - wildcard capture: all by value
 - can be combined: [=, &x, &y]
 - only used variables stored

The power of lambda functions is in captures.

```
struct Bar {
  void foo(const std::vector<int> &vec, int p) {
    int cnt = std::count_if(vec.begin(), vec.end(),
                              [this, p](int x) { good(x); });
  }
  bool good(int);
};
[&](int x) { good(x + p); }
  wildcard capture: all by reference
```

How Does Lambda Capture Work?

translates to something like

```
struct Lambda {
   Lambda(Bar *that) : that(that) { }
   bool operator()(int x) const {
      return that->good(x);
   }
   Bar *that;
};
std::count if(vec.begin(), vec.end(), Lambda(this));
```

How Does Lambda Capture Work?

translates to something like

```
struct Lambda {
    Lambda(Bar *that) : that(that) { }
    bool operator()(int x) const {
        return that->good(x);
    }
    Bar *that:
};
std::count if(vec.begin(), vec.end(), Lambda(this));
  each lambda gets a unique type
      cannot be written by the programmer
      is compiler specific
```

More On Capture

[i = 0] (int x) mutable { return x + i++; }

- a lambda with an additional variable i (of deduced type int) stored in the lambda object
- type cannot be written explicitly (deduced as in auto i = 0)
- useful for move-only objects [ptr = std::move(ptr)]
- also by reference: [&x = y]

More On Capture

[i = 0] (int x) mutable { return x + i++; }

- a lambda with an additional variable i (of deduced type int) stored in the lambda object
- type cannot be written explicitly (deduced as in auto i = 0)
- useful for move-only objects [ptr = std::move(ptr)]
- also by reference: [&x = y]

the current object can be captured by value: G17

- [*this]() { return foo(); }
- creates a copy of the object pointed to by this in the lambda
- this inside lambda body points to the copy

More On Capture

[i = 0] (int x) mutable { return x + i++; }

- a lambda with an additional variable i (of deduced type int) stored in the lambda object
- type cannot be written explicitly (deduced as in auto i = 0)
- useful for move-only objects [ptr = std::move(ptr)]
- also by reference: [&x = y]

- [*this]() { return foo(); }
- creates a copy of the object pointed to by this in the lambda
- this inside lambda body points to the copy

Templated Lambdas (auto Lambdas) 😅14

```
Lambda arguments can be templates:
std::count_if(v.begin(), v.end(),
      [=](const auto &x) { return good(x); });
```

```
creates the following templated operator in the lambda object
template <typename Arg1T>
bool operator()(const Arg1T& x) const {
    return that->good(x);
}
```

 in gcc's support library there is bug which causes the demangler in gdb to fail when it encounters a name of an auto lambda

demangler error can be ignored and debugging resumed

```
Templates can be also explicitly named, possibly with requires
[]<std::input_iterator It, std::sentinel_for<It> End>
  (It from, End to) { ... }
```

More on Lambdas

```
beware of dangling references in capture-by-reference
auto getAdder(int x) {
    return [&](int y) { return x + y; };
}
```

solution: use capture-by-value

```
beware of dangling references in capture-by-reference
auto getAdder(int x) {
    return [&](int y) { return x + y; };
}
```

solution: use capture-by-value

```
capture-less lambdas can be converted to function pointers:
std::set_terminate([]() {
    std::cerr << "terminate called" << std::end;
    std::abort();
});
```

the lambda object actually defines a cast-to-function-pointer operator

```
beware of dangling references in capture-by-reference
auto getAdder(int x) {
    return [&](int y) { return x + y; };
}
```

solution: use capture-by-value

```
capture-less lambdas can be converted to function pointers:
std::set_terminate([]() {
    std::cerr << "terminate called" << std::end;
    std::abort();
});
```

the lambda object actually defines a cast-to-function-pointer operator

```
the argument list can be missing: [x] { return x; }
```
Lambda as a Function Argument

The type of lambda is not known to the programmer, how can a function take such an argument?

Lambda as a Function Argument

The type of lambda is not known to the programmer, how can a function take such an argument?

1 templates

```
template <typename Fun>
auto foo(Fun fun) { // note: passing by value
    /* ... */
    fun(x, y);
    foo has to be defined in a header file
    the types of lambda's arguments cannot be written explicitly
```

Lambda as a Function Argument

The type of lambda is not known to the programmer, how can a function take such an argument?

1 templates

```
template <typename Fun>
  auto foo(Fun fun) { // note: passing by value
      /* ... */
      fun(x, y);
    foo has to be defined in a header file
    the types of lambda's arguments cannot be written explicitly
auto foo(std::invocable<int, int> auto fun) {
      /* ... */
      fun(x, y);
    type is statically derived (as with plain templates)
    any concept can be used – constraining return type, ...
```

also std::predicate

- 3 std::function
 - auto foo(std::function<void (int, int)> fun)
 - slower (usually cannot be inlined, possibly uses virtual methods)

4 by function pointer

- only capture-less lambdas
- faster than std::function
- slower that using templates (usually cannot be inlined)

a polymorphic wrapper that can hold any callable object satisfying the given signature

- basically a generalisation of a function pointer
 - can also hold a lambda or a functional object (instance of a class that defines the call operator ())
 - can even hold a member function of an object (this has to be passed as the first argument)
- defines a call operator () that forwards all arguments to the stored callable object
- can be empty (can be assigned from nullptr)
- the type of the stored object can be accessed if necessary
- defined in <functional>

struct Foo { int get() { return x + 42; }; int x = 0; }; int bla(Foo &x) { return x.x + 16; }

```
int main() {
   Foo f:
    std::function<int(Foo &)> fun = &Foo::get;
    std::cerr << fun(f) << '\n'; // 42
    int i = 0;
    fun = [\&](Foo \&x) \{ return x.x + i++; \};
    std::cerr << fun(f) << '\n'; // 0
    std::cerr << fun(f) << '\n'; // 1
    fun = &bla;
    std::cerr << fun(f) << '\n': // 16
}
```

- do not overuse them
- lambdas should be short
- if you need to name a capture-less lambda, consider using an ordinary function instead
- if your lambda is long, use a function or a method instead
- prefer references to copies of large data in the capture list if possible
 - the generated class will be smaller
 - however, references can be dangerous, so be careful
- if the number of lambdas is higher than the number of functions/methods, you should consider refactoring your code

Sometimes it is useful to pass a member function together with its object, or a partially applied function to an algorithm:

```
by lambda: [this, x](auto y) { foo(x, y); }
```

Sometimes it is useful to pass a member function together with its object, or a partially applied function to an algorithm:

- by lambda: [this, x](auto y) { foo(x, y); }
- using std::bind:

```
using namespace std::placeholders;
auto bar = std::bind(&Foo::foo, this, x, _1);
bar(5); // calls this->foo(x, 5)
```

Sometimes it is useful to pass a member function together with its object, or a partially applied function to an algorithm:

- by lambda: [this, x](auto y) { foo(x, y); }
- using std::bind:

```
using namespace std::placeholders;
auto bar = std::bind(&Foo::foo, this, x, _1);
bar(5); // calls this->foo(x, 5)
```

- binds function passed as first argument to some arguments, some of which can be represented by placeholders (_1,...,N)
- works with functions, callable objects, member functions
- creates a callable object that accepts N arguments
- return value type is unspecified

Sometimes it is useful to pass a member function together with its object, or a partially applied function to an algorithm:

- by lambda: [this, x](auto y) { foo(x, y); }
- using std::bind:

```
using namespace std::placeholders;
auto bar = std::bind(&Foo::foo, this, x, _1);
bar(5); // calls this->foo(x, 5)
```

- binds function passed as first argument to some arguments, some of which can be represented by placeholders (_1,...,N)
- works with functions, callable objects, member functions
- creates a callable object that accepts N arguments
- return value type is unspecified

```
auto bar = std::bind_front(&Foo::foo, this, x);
bar(5); // calls this->foo(x, 5)
```

Note: Since C++14, all uses of bind can be written using a lambda.



given a container of Employees, find the sum of all men's salariesto make things complicated, QA gets a 10% raise

Traditional solution:

```
int sum = 0;
for (const Employee& e : loadEmployees()) {
    if (e.gender == Gender::Female)
        continue;
    sum += e.department == "QA" ? 1.1 * e.salary : e.salary;
}
return sum;
```

Boring "Corporate" Example

```
given a container of Employees, find the sum of all men's salaries
 to make things complicated, QA gets a 10% raise
Using STL algorithms:
std::vector<Employee> employees = loadEmployees();
employees.erase(
    std::remove if(employees.begin(), employees.end(),
        [](const Employee& e) {
            return e.gender == Gender::Female;
        }), employees.end());
std::vector<int> s:
std::transform(employees.begin(), employees.end(),
    std::back_inserter(s), [](const Employee& e) {
        return e.department == "QA" ?
            1.1 * e.salary : e.salary;
    });
return std::accumulate(s.begin(), s.end(), 0);
```

Iterators and Algorithms in STL

- are supposed to provide higher abstraction and prevent code duplication
 - when you see std::copy you know what to expect
 - when you see for you have to put mental effort to find out what it does
 - algorithms should eliminate "off-by-one" bugs and similar
- have terrible syntax (as seen on the previous example)
 - almost in all cases we go from begin() to end()
 - in almost all cases we back-insert the result
- do not compose well (as seen on the previous example)
 - note the memory overhead of second solution

Iterators and Algorithms in STL

- are supposed to provide higher abstraction and prevent code duplication
 - when you see std::copy you know what to expect
 - when you see for you have to put mental effort to find out what it does
 - algorithms should eliminate "off-by-one" bugs and similar
- have terrible syntax (as seen on the previous example)
 - almost in all cases we go from begin() to end()
 - in almost all cases we back-insert the result
- do not compose well (as seen on the previous example)
 - note the memory overhead of second solution
- Solution in C++20: concept of ranges and range adaptors
 - provide abstraction
 - do not lead to code duplication
 - compose well and are efficient



std::vector numbers = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6};

```
auto results = numbers
| std::views::filter([](int n) { return n % 2 == 0; })
| std::views::transform([](int n) { return n * 2; });
```

```
for (auto v : results)
    std::cout << v << " ";</pre>
```

- functional style of transformation of sequences of values
- composable
- lazy values computed on-demand



a range is an object with begin() and end() that return iterators

- can be iterated over
- concept std::ranges::range



a range is an object with begin() and end() that return iterators

- can be iterated over
- concept std::ranges::range
- end iterator need not be the same type as begin
 - so-called *sentinel* can be compared with an iterator to detect end of a range
 - std::iterator and std::sentinel_for<It> concepts



a range is an object with begin() and end() that return iterators

- can be iterated over
- concept std::ranges::range
- end iterator need not be the same type as begin
 - so-called *sentinel* can be compared with an iterator to detect end of a range
 - std::iterator and std::sentinel_for<It> concepts
 - note: range-for allows use of sentinels @17
- all containers are ranges



unlike containers, ranges do not have to own elements

range iterators can be smart

technically, there are many concepts of ranges

- forward
- random access

...

ranges come with range-enabled algorithms

```
std::views::filter(nums, [](int n) { return n % 2 == 0; })
nums | std::views::filter([](int n) { return n % 2 == 0; })
```



can be combined with a range using operator | to produce a new range:

```
std::vector numbers = { 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 };
```

```
auto range = numbers | std::view::transform(multiplyBy(42));
```

```
operator |:
```

- semantics similar to UNIX pipes: "feeds output of the left side to the right side"
- is left associative ("read it from left to right")

```
auto range = numbers
    | std::view::filter(isEven)
    | std::view::transform(multiplyBy(42));
```

Views = adaptors that do not own data and are lazy

Boring "Corporate" Example: Now with Ranges! C20

Boring "Corporate" Example: Now with Ranges! C20

```
given a container of Employees, find sum of all men's salaries
 to make things complicated, QA gets a 10% raise
auto range = loadEmployees()
    std::view::filter([](auto& e) {
        return e.gender == Gender::Man })
    view::transform([](auto& e) {
        return e.department == "QA" ?
            1.1 * e.salary : e.salary; });
return std::accumulate(range.begin(), range.end());
 named functions instead of lambdas:
    loadEmployees()
        view::filter(isMan)
        view::transform(raise({ { "QA", 1.1 } }))
    );
    Function naming leads to more readable code!
```

ranges are lazy

- only the employee under transformation occupies memory
- efficiency is roughly the same as for for loop implementation

only GCC ≥ 10 (no clang, no MSVC so far) "ranges are nice, but C++20 is too new"

- only GCC \geq 10 (no clang, no MSVC so far)
- "ranges are nice, but C++20 is too new"

range-v3

- reference implementation of ranges for standard library
- open-source, https://github.com/ericniebler/range-v3
- header-only, compatible with C++11 and higher
- ready to be used in practice
- not everything in ranges-v3 is in C++20 (e.g., accumulate)
- **Boost** also provides its own implementation

range-v3 overview

Documentation: https://ericniebler.github.io/range-v3/

- views: produce new ranges, lazy if possible:
 - view::zip
 - view::zip_with
 - view::take
 - view::tail
 - view::tokenize
 - view::reverse
 - **...**
- actions: mutate container in place, not lazy:
 - action::sort
 - action::unique
 - **...**
- algorithms: STL algorithms taking ranges as arguments

The Old Way – C++ Algorithm Libraries

Recommended talk from CppCon 2018: Jonathan Boccara: 105 STL Algorithms in Less Than an Hour https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2olsGf6JIkU

C++ Algorithm Libraries

headers <algorithm>, <numeric> many useful algorithms on collections/iterators

- sort, stable_sort, nth_element, binary_search, reverse
- all_of, any_of, node_of
- find, find_if, count, count_if
- copy, move
- accumulate, inner_product
- especially usable with lambda functions
- beware of using them for things that can be written clearer and shorter with range-based for
- all that take function arguments take them using templates and by value:

T accumulate(InputIterator first, InputIterator last,

T init, BinaryOperation binary_op);

Iterators are central concept for containers and algorithms in the standard C++ library:

- in a sense a generalisation of pointers
 - point to some place in a collection
 - can be dereferenced to obtain (a reference to) a value in the collection
 - can be incremented, optionally decremented, added to, compared
- requirements described by the *Iterator* concept and its extensions
 - concepts are a way to describe a set of types which satisfy the same public interface
 - used in C++ standard, documentation, not part of the language (yet)

Iterator Concepts I

Iterator concept:

- for type It to be iterator it must meet the following:
- be copy constructible, copy assignable, destructible
- Ivalues need to be swappable
- if r is an lvalue of type It then *r and ++r must be valid expressions and ++r must return It &
 - **but** certain **r** might not be dereferenceable:
 - if r points past-the-end of a container
 - if it was invalidated by an operation on the container
 - if it is not associated with any container
- std::iterator_traits<It> must define the member types
 value_type, difference_type, reference, pointer, and
 iterator_category
 - this can be done by defining these types in It itself, std::iterator_traits is a helper to allow adding those to pointer types
 - iterator category is used to determine the capabilities of the iterator

InputIterator concept extends Iterator in the following ways:

- is comparable (==, !=)
- *i returns reference, convertible to value_type
 (if i is dereferenceable)
- members of object pointed to by the iterator can be accessed: i->m
- postfix increment i++, but with unspecified return type
- *i++ is convertible to value_type

ForwardIterator adds to InputIterator:

- must be default constructible
- multipass guarantee
 - a == b implies ++a == ++b
 - if a and b are equal then they must both either be non-dereferenceable or *a and *b must be references to the same object
 - assignment to a mutable ForwardIterator cannot invalidate it
- reference must be a (constant or non-constant) lvalue reference to value_type
- equality must be defined between all iterators to the same sequence and (since C++14) the value-initialized iterators (It{})
- i++ must return It and be equivalent to It o=i; ++i; return o;

Bidirectionallterator adds to ForwardIterator:

--i, i--, and *i-- are defined (analogous to ++ but moving back)
RandomAccessIterator adds to BidirectionalIterator:

- let a, b be iterators, n a numeric value of type difference_typeiterator a can be added to, subtracted from:
 - a += n, a -= n, a + n, a n

with constant complexity

- two iterators can be subtracted to obtain their distance: a b
- iterator can be indexed: a[n] which is equal to *(a + n)
Iterator Concepts V

ContiguousIterator adds to **RandomAccessIterator** (since C++17):

- for dereferenceable iterator values a and (a + n), *(a + n)
 equivalent to *(std::addressof(*a) + n)
 - where std::addressof obtains real address of a reference even if it overloads operator &

OutputIterator adds the mutability requirement to any type which meets the **Iterator** concept:

- *i can be assigned to
- iterators which meet OutputIterator are called mutable iterators

The concept an iterator adheres to is indicated by iterator_category which should be typedef to one of:

- input_iterator_tag, output_iterator_tag, forward_iterator_tag, bidirectional_iterator_tag, random_access_iterator_tag
- (yes, there is none yet for ContiguousIterator)

- inheriting from std::iterator is deprecated in C++17
- there are some utilities and concepts in <iterator>
- std::reverse_iterator adaptor can be used to adapt
 a bidirectional iterator for reverse iteration
- std::next, std::prev can be used to advance iterators in single
 expression
 - ++x.begin() might not be well defined, but std::next(x.begin()) is
 - see https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/iterator/next#Notes
 - can also jump over elements: std::next(x.begin(), 4)

std::back_inserter, std::front_inserter, std::inserter
are useful for filling containers:

- prefer container's insert for bulk insertion from other containers
 v.insert(v.end(), a.begin(), a.end());
 - eliminates repeated resizes

std::istream_iterator, std::ostream_iterator are useful for combining algorithms with streams:

std::copy(s.begin(), s.end(),

std::ostream_iterator<int>(std::cout, " "));

- both use a template argument to set which type is read from/written to the stream
- the second (optional) argument is a separator

std::istream_iterator, std::ostream_iterator are useful for combining algorithms with streams:

std::copy(s.begin(), s.end(),

std::ostream_iterator<int>(std::cout, " "));

- both use a template argument to set which type is read from/written to the stream
- the second (optional) argument is a separator (well, not really; it is output after every value)
- maybe we will have ostream_joiner in the future