

Bidiagonalization as a fundamental decomposition of data in linear approximation problems

Zdeněk Strakoš

Institute of Computer Science,
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague
`strakos@cs.cas.cz`

This talk will present results achieved in collaboration with Christopher C. Paige, McGill University, Montreal, Canada.

Let A be a nonzero n by k real matrix, and b be a nonzero real n -vector. Consider estimating x from the linear approximation problem

$$Ax \approx b, \quad (1)$$

where the uninteresting case is for clarity of exposition excluded by the natural assumption $b \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$, that is $A^T b \neq 0$. Here we do not primarily deal with A square nonsingular and solving linear algebraic equations. We allow A rectangular of an arbitrary nonzero rank, and assume that the data A, b contain redundant and/or irrelevant information, and are possibly also corrupted by noise.

In a sequence of papers [1, 2, 3] it was proposed to orthogonally transform the the original data b, A into the form

$$P^T \left[b \parallel AQ \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} b_1 & A_{11} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & A_{22} \end{array} \right], \quad (2)$$

where $P^{-1} = P^T$, $Q^{-1} = Q^T$, $b_1 = \beta_1 e_1$, and A_{11} is a lower bidiagonal matrix with *nonzero bidiagonal elements*. The matrix A_{11} is either square, when (1) is compatible, or rectangular, when (1) is incompatible. The matrix A_{22} , and the corresponding block row and column in (2), can be nonexistent. The original problem is in this way decomposed into the approximation problem

$$A_{11}x_1 \approx b_1, \quad (3)$$

and the remaining part $A_{22}x_2 \approx 0$. It was proposed to find x_1 from (3), set $x_2 = 0$, and substitute for the solution of (1)

$$x \equiv Q \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The (partial) upper bidiagonalization of $[b, A]$ described above has remarkable properties. First, the lower bidiagonal matrix A_{11} with nonzero bidiagonal elements has full column rank and its singular values are simple. Consequently, any zero singular values or repeats that A has must appear in A_{22} . Second, A_{11} has minimal dimensions, and A_{22} has maximal dimensions, over all orthogonal transformations giving the block structure in (2), without any additional assumptions on the structure of A_{11} and b_1 . Finally, all components of $b_1 = \beta_1 e_1$ in the left singular vector subspaces of A_{11} (that is, the first elements of all left singular vectors of A_{11}) are nonzero. Proofs can be found in [3]. In this contribution we outline alternative proofs based on the relationship between the Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization and the symmetric Lanczos tridiagonalization.

In the approach represented by (1)–(4), the data b, A are fundamentally decomposed. The necessary and sufficient information for solving the problem (1) is given by b_1, A_{11} . All irrelevant and repeated information is filtered out to A_{22} . The problem (3) is therefore called a *core problem* within (1).

In our contribution we will review the theory, mention recent applications of the core problem formulation, and outline the status of investigation of several open questions. We will concentrate on explanation of ideas, and avoid technical details. The lecture is intended for a general audience.

References

- [1] C. C. Paige and Z. Strakoš. Scaled total least squares fundamentals. *Numer. Math.*, 91:117–146, (2002).
- [2] C. C. Paige and Z. Strakoš. Unifying least squares, total least squares and data least squares, in “Total Least Squares and Errors-in-Variables Modeling”, S. van Huffel and P. Lemmerling, editors, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 25–34, (2002).
- [3] C. C. Paige and Z. Strakoš. Core problems in linear algebraic systems. *SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 27:261–276, (2006).