

PSEsupportCenter  
Test

SIEMENS

## B2 – Dynamic testing and test- case design

PSEsupportCenter  
Test

Overview

SIEMENS

Problem	
Classification	• Problem
Black box technique	• Classification
Equivalence partitions	• Black box technique
Boundary values	• White box technique
State-transition testing	• Intuitive TC-identification
White box technique	• Summary
Statement coverage	
Branch coverage	
Path coverage	
Condition testing	
Intuitive TC-identification	
Summary	
Okt 05	Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 2

PSEsupportCenter Test		Dynamic Testing	SIEMENS
Problem			
Classification			
Black box technique			
Equivalence partitions			
Boundary values			
State-transition testing			
White box technique			
Statement coverage			
Branch coverage			
Path coverage			
Condition testing			
Intuitive TC-identification			
Summary			

**Dynamic testing =**  
Testing by executing test objects

**Prerequisites:**  
an executable program

**Component testing:**  
No executable program so far, therefore:  
Test frame, test driver (test bed)  
Placeholder (stub, mock object)

```
graph LR
    subgraph Stubs
        S1[Stub 1]
        S2[Stub 2]
        Dots[...]
        Sn[Stub n]
    end
    TC[Test cases] --> TO[Test object]
    TO --> S1
    TO --> S2
    TO --> Dots
    TO --> Sn
    TO --> TO_outputs[Test outputs]
    TO --> Traces[Traces]
```

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 3

PSEsupportCenter Test		Procedure for test case determination	SIEMENS
Problem			
Classification			
Black box technique			
Equivalence partitions			
Boundary values			
State-transition testing			
White box technique			
Statement coverage			
Branch coverage			
Path coverage			
Condition testing			
Intuitive TC-identification			
Summary			

- Classification in accordance with what is known of the test object:
  - Black-box methods:
    - Do not make use of any knowledge of internal structure of the test object
    - Designated also as “functional testing technique”
  - White-box methods
    - Make use of the knowledge of internal structure
    - Designated also as “structural testing technique”
- Classification in accordance with the procedure
  - Methodical identification of test cases
    - Based on defined methods (see above)
  - Intuitive identification of test cases
    - Makes use of the experiences of the testers

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 4

PSEsupportCenter Test		Classification of test techniques	SIEMENS
Problem		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Black box technique<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Establishment of equivalence partitions</li><li>– Boundary value analysis</li><li>– State transition testing</li><li>– Cause-effect analysis</li><li>– Classification tree technique</li></ul></li><li>• White box technique<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Statement coverage</li><li>– Branch coverage</li><li>– Test of conditions</li><li>– Path coverage</li></ul></li></ul>	
Classification			
Black box technique			
Equivalence partitions			
Boundary values			
State-transition testing			
White box technique			
Statement coverage			
Branch coverage			
Path coverage			
Condition testing			
Intuitive TC-identification			
Summary			

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 5

PSEsupportCenter Test		Black box technique - general	SIEMENS
Problem		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Internal set-up of test object unknown<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– ==&gt; test cases derived only from the specification</li></ul></li><li>• Test of all possible input combinations<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– impossible even theoretically</li><li>– number of test cases has to be minimized</li></ul></li><li>• Possibility for minimizing:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– equivalence partitions</li><li>– boundary values</li></ul></li><li>• State-transition testing<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– test-object behavior depends not only on inputs</li><li>– ==&gt; internal states have to be taken into account</li></ul></li></ul>	
Classification			
Black box technique			
Equivalence partitions			
Boundary values			
State-transition testing			
White box technique			
Statement coverage			
Branch coverage			
Path coverage			
Condition testing			
Intuitive TC-identification			
Summary			
Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 6			

PSE-supportCenter Test		Equivalence partitions	SIEMENS
Problem		<p>Definition of "Equivalence partition":</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A contiguous value range per input variable</li><li>• Test data of one equivalence partition cause equal outputs or execute equal program functions</li></ul> <p>Procedure:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Determine definition ranges per variable</li><li>2. Refine equivalence partitions</li><li>3. Implement positive test cases</li><li>4. Implement negative test cases</li></ol>	
Classification			
Black box technique			
Equivalence partitions			
Boundary values			
State-transition testing			
White box technique			
Statement coverage			
Branch coverage			
Path coverage			
Condition testing			
Intuitive TC-identification			
Summary			

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 7

PSE-supportCenter Test		Equivalence partition - example	SIEMENS
Problem		<p>Functionality: SIEMENS-Pension-Calculator</p> <div><div>Payment _ . _ %</div><div>Calculate!</div><div>Monthly pension _ _ _ , _ _ €</div></div>	
Classification			
Black box technique			
Equivalence partitions			
Boundary values			
State-transition testing			
White box technique			
Statement coverage			
Branch coverage			
Path coverage			
Condition testing			
Intuitive TC-identification			
Summary			

Description:

Input: Payment in percent of the gross salary.  
Output: Pension according to works agreement of Siemens.

Conditions:

Percentages have to be between 0.0 and 5.0

Expected output:

0.0	No pension
0.1 - 2.0	Calculation based on model A of the agreement
2.1 - 5.0	Calculation based on model B of the agreement

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 8

PSEsupport Center Test		Application of the method		SIEMENS	
Problem	1.Determination of definition ranges per variable				
Classification	Input variable: Percentage				
Black box technique	Definition range: Decimal number				
	Possible values: 0.0 to 9.0				
Equivalence partitions	Invalid values: Values > 5.0 and other characters				
Boundary values	2. Refining of equivalence partitions				
State-transition testing	Equivalence partitions derive from the expected outputs:				
White box technique	EP1: 0.0				
	EP2: 0.1 - 2.0				
Statement coverage	EP3: 2.1 - 5.0				
Branch coverage	Invalid equivalence partitions:				
Path coverage	IP1: 5.1 - 9.9				
Condition testing	IP2: "a,b", and similar invalid characters				
Intuitive TC-identification	3. Implementation of positive test cases				
Summary	3 test cases in accordance with the 3 equivalence partitions e.g.: with representatives "0.0", "1.1", "4.2"				
	4. Implementation of negative test cases				
	2 test cases, in accordance with 2 equivalence partitions e.g.: with representatives "6,7", "a,b"				
Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 9					

<div> <div>PSEsupportCenter Test</div> <div>Boundary value method</div> <div>SIEMENS</div> </div>	
Problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Weak point of equivalence partitioning method: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typical values work in most cases</li> <li>Errors occur frequently at the boundaries of equivalence partitions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Procedure for the boundary value method: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As with equivalence partitioning</li> <li>However option to choose at the boundaries of EP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Value exactly on the boundary</li> <li>Value above/below the boundary</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>Example "Pension calculator" <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Positive test cases: "0.0", "0.1", "2.0", "2.1", "5.0"</li> <li>Negative test cases: "5.1", "a,b" <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"5.1" is a boundary value resulting in a negative test case!</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 10</p>
Classification	
Black box technique	
Equivalence partitions	
Boundary values	
State-transition testing	
White box technique	
Statement coverage	
Branch coverage	
Path coverage	
Condition testing	
Intuitive TC-identification	
Summary	

PSE-supportCenter Test		State-transition testing	SIEMENS
Problem		<p>System behavior not dependent on inputs only ==&gt; internal states</p> <p>Example "coffee machine"</p> <pre>graph LR     Start(( )) -- "[Power=on]" --&gt; Off[Off]     Off -- "OFF" --&gt; Off     Off -- "ON" --&gt; On[On]     On -- "COFFEE-button /make coffee" --&gt; amount_OK[amount_OK]     amount_OK -- "insert coin [coin=1€]" --&gt; On     On -- "insert coin [coin≠1€] /return coin" --&gt; On     On -- "insert coin /return coin" --&gt; Off</pre>	
Classification			
Black box technique			
Equivalence partitions			
Boundary values			
State-transition testing			
White box technique			
Statement coverage			
Branch coverage			
Path coverage			
Condition testing			
Intuitive TC-identification			
Summary			

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 11

PSE-supportCenter Test		Test case design	SIEMENS
Problem		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Different degrees of coverage:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– each state reached at least once</li><li>– each edge passed at least once</li><li>– each path passed once</li><li>– testing for rejection of prohibited events</li></ul></li><li>• In the example:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Coverage of all nodes and edges:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>TC1: button ON - Insert 1€ - key COFFEE - key OFF</b></li><li>• <b>TC2: button ON - Insert 2€ - key OFF</b></li><li>• <b>TC3: insert 1€</b></li></ul></li><li>– Rejection of prohibited events<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TCP1: button ON - button COFFEE</li><li>• TCP2: button ON - insert 2€ - button COFFEE</li><li>• TCP3: button ON - insert 1€ - key OFF (!)</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	
Classification			
Black box technique			
Equivalence partitions			
Boundary values			
State-transition testing			
White box technique			
Statement coverage			
Branch coverage			
Path coverage			
Condition testing			
Intuitive TC-identification			
Summary			

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 12

<div>PSE-supportCenter Test</div>		<div>White box technique - general</div>		<div>SIEMENS</div>	
<div>Problem</div> <div>Classification</div> <div>Black box technique</div> <div>Equivalence partitions</div> <div>Boundary values</div> <div>State-transition testing</div> <div>White box technique</div> <div>Statement coverage</div> <div>Branch coverage</div> <div>Path coverage</div> <div>Condition testing</div> <div>Intuitive TC-identification</div> <div>Summary</div>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Internal set-up of the test object is known</li><li>• Basic idea:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– execute all program parts at least once</li></ul></li></ul>			
<div>Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 13</div>					

<div>PSE-supportCenter Test</div>		<div>C0 - coverage</div>		<div>SIEMENS</div>	
<div>Problem</div> <div>Classification</div> <div>Black box technique</div> <div>Equivalence partitions</div> <div>Boundary values</div> <div>State-transition testing</div> <div>White box technique</div> <div>Statement coverage</div> <div>Branch coverage</div> <div>Path coverage</div> <div>Condition testing</div> <div>Intuitive TC-identification</div> <div>Summary</div>		<p>Each statement is executed once</p> <pre>void CoverMe (int a, int b) {     printf("A");     if (a &lt; 1)         printf("B");     printf("C");     if (b &lt; 2)         printf("D");     printf("E"); }</pre> <p><b>a=0, b=1 =&gt; ABCDE</b></p> <pre>graph TD     A[A] --&gt; B[B]     A --&gt; C[C]     C --&gt; D[D]     C --&gt; E[E]     B --&gt; E</pre>			
<div>Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 14</div>					

PSEsupportCenter Test		C1 - Coverage		SIEMENS
Problem		Each branch is executed once		
Classification				
Black box technique				
Equivalence partitions				
Boundary values				
State-transition testing				
White box technique				
Statement coverage				
Branch coverage				
Path coverage				
Condition testing				
Intuitive TC-identification				
Summary				

```
void CoverMe (int a, int b)
{
    printf("A");
    if (a < 1)
        printf("B");
    printf("C");
    if (b < 2)
        printf("D");
    printf("E");
}
```

```
graph TD
    A[A] --> B[B]
    A[A] --> C[C]
    C[C] --> D[D]
    C[C] --> E[E]
```

**a=0, b=1 => ABCDE**  
**a=1, b=2 => ACE**

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 15

PSEsupportCenter Test		C2 - coverage		SIEMENS
Problem		Each path is executed once		
Classification				
Black box technique				
Equivalence partitions				
Boundary values				
State-transition testing				
White box technique				
Statement coverage				
Branch coverage				
Path coverage				
Condition testing				
Intuitive TC-identification				
Summary				


```
void CoverMe (int a, int b)
{
    printf("A");
    if (a < 1)
        printf("B");
    printf("C");
    if (b < 2)
        printf("D");
    printf("E");
}
```

```
graph TD
    A[A] --> B[B]
    A[A] --> C[C]
    C[C] --> D[D]
    C[C] --> E[E]
```


**a=0, b=1 => ABCDE**  
**a=1, b=2 => ACE**  
**a=0, b=2 => ABCE**  
**a=1, b=1 => ACDE**

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 16






## Condition coverage




<div>Problem</div> <div>Classification</div> <div>Black box technique</div> <div>Equivalence partitions</div> <div>Boundary values</div> <div>State-transition testing</div> <div>White box technique</div> <div>Statement coverage</div> <div>Branch coverage</div> <div>Path coverage</div> <div>Condition testing</div> <div>Intuitive TC-identification</div> <div>Summary</div>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Single condition coverage</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Each sub-condition has to be at least true once and false once    <math>((a &gt; 1) \ \&amp;\&amp; \ (b &lt; 2))</math> requires 2 test cases</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Multiple condition coverage</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Each combination of sub-conditions taken into account</li> <li>– <math>((a &gt; 1) \ \&amp;\&amp; \ (b &lt; 2))</math> requires 4 test cases</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Minimum multiple condition coverage</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Only the combinations of sub-conditions where the modification of the logical value of a condition changes the logical value of the entire condition.</li> <li>– Considerable savings effect as compared to the previous method</li> <li>– Nonetheless good error detection rate</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
--	---

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 17



## Minimum multiple condition coverage



<div>Problem</div> <div>Classification</div> <div>Black box technique</div> <div>Equivalence partitions</div> <div>Boundary values</div> <div>State-transition testing</div> <div>White box technique</div> <div>Statement coverage</div> <div>Branch coverage</div> <div>Path coverage</div> <div>Condition testing</div> <div>Intuitive TC-identification</div> <div>Summary</div>	<p style="text-align: center;"><math>((a &gt; 1) \ \&amp;\&amp; \ (b &lt; 2))</math>    3 test cases required</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="padding: 5px;"><math>(a &gt; 1)</math></th> <th style="padding: 5px;"><math>(b &lt; 2)</math></th> <th style="padding: 5px;">Total</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">Test case</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>F</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>F</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>F ==&gt; Test case</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>TC1</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">F</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">T</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">F - nothing changed</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>T</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>F</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>F ==&gt; Test case</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>TC2</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>T</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>T</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>T Result changed ==&gt;</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>TC3</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	$(a > 1)$	$(b < 2)$	Total	Test case	<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>F ==&gt; Test case</b>	<b>TC1</b>	F	T	F - nothing changed	-	<b>T</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>F ==&gt; Test case</b>	<b>TC2</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T Result changed ==&gt;</b>	<b>TC3</b>
$(a > 1)$	$(b < 2)$	Total	Test case																		
<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>F ==&gt; Test case</b>	<b>TC1</b>																		
F	T	F - nothing changed	-																		
<b>T</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>F ==&gt; Test case</b>	<b>TC2</b>																		
<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T Result changed ==&gt;</b>	<b>TC3</b>																		

Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 18

<div>PSEsupportCenter Test</div> <div>Intuitive identification of test cases</div> <div>SIEMENS</div>	
<div>Problem</div> <div>Classification</div> <div>Black box technique</div> <div>Equivalence partitions</div> <div>Boundary values</div> <div>State-transition testing</div> <div>White box technique</div> <div>Statement coverage</div> <div>Branch coverage</div> <div>Path coverage</div> <div>Condition testing</div> <div>Intuitive TC-identification</div> <div>Summary</div>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Motivation:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Intuition of experienced testers</li><li>– Ideas based on past errors</li><li>– Assumptions about future errors</li></ul></li><li>• Procedure:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Cannot be specified</li><li>– Test cases derive from intuition, experience, assumptions, ...</li></ul></li><li>• Use:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– At all test levels</li><li>– By no means as the only/primary procedure!</li><li>– Only to complement the systematic methods!</li></ul></li></ul> <div>Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 19</div>

<div>PSEsupportCenter Test</div> <div>Summary</div> <div>SIEMENS</div>	
<div>Problem</div> <div>Classification</div> <div>Black box technique</div> <div>Equivalence partitions</div> <div>Boundary values</div> <div>State-transition testing</div> <div>White box technique</div> <div>Statement coverage</div> <div>Branch coverage</div> <div>Path coverage</div> <div>Condition testing</div> <div>Intuitive TC-identification</div> <div>Summary</div>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• An executable program is the precondition for dynamic testing</li><li>• Classification of test techniques in accordance with the knowledge about the test object</li><li>• Combination of test methods often make sense (equivalence partitions, state-transition testing)</li><li>• C0, C1-coverage in component testing</li></ul> <div>Armin Beer, Alfred Spalt, Siemens PSE 20</div>