	CHAPTER 5: PUBLIC-KEY CRYPTOGRAPHY I. RSA
	Rapidly increasing needs for flexible and secure transmission of information require to use new cryptographic methods.
Part V	The main disadvantage of the classical (symmetric) cryptography is the need to send a (long) key through a super secure channel before sending the message itself.
Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA	In the classical or secret-key (symmetric) cryptography both sender and receiver share the same secret key.
	In the public-key (asymmetric) cryptography there are two different keys:
	a public encryption key (at the sender side)
	and
	a private (secret) decryption key (at the receiver side).
	prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 2/44
BASIC IDEA - EXAMPLE	PUBLIC ESTABLISHMENT of SECRET KEYS
Basic idea: If it is infeasible from the knowledge of an encryption algorithm $e_k$ to construct the corresponding description algorithm $d_k$ , then $e_k$ can be made public.	Main problem of the secret-key cryptography: a need to make a secure distribution (establishment) of secret keys ahead of transmissions.
Toy example: (Telephone directory encryption)	Diffie+Hellman solved this problem in 1976 by designing a protocol for secure key
Start: Each user <b>U</b> makes public a unique telephone directory $td_U$ to encrypt messages	establishment (distribution) over public channels.
for <b>U</b> and <b>U</b> is the only user to have an inverse telephone directory $itd_U$ . Encryption: Each letter <b>X</b> of a plaintext <b>w</b> is replaced, using the telephone directory $td_U$	Diffie-Hellman Protocol: If two parties, Alice and Bob, want to create a common secret key, then they first agree, somehow, on a large prime $p$ and a $q < p$ of large order in $Z_p^*$ and then they particular through a public channel, the following activities
of the intended receiver $U$ , by the telephone number of a person whose name starts with letter $X$ .	<ul> <li>and then they perform, through a public channel, the following activities.</li> <li>■ Alice chooses, randomly, a large 1 ≤ x  </li></ul>
<b>Decryption</b> : easy for $U_{k_1}$ with the inverse telephone directory, infeasible for others.	$X = q^{x} \mod p.$
Analogy between secret and public-key cryptography:	$\blacksquare$ Bob also chooses, again randomly, a large $1 \leq y < p-1$ and computes
Secret-key cryptography 1. Put the message into a box, lock it with a padlock and send	$Y = q^{y} \bmod p.$
the box. 2. Send the key by a secure channel.	■ Alice and Bob exchange X and Y, through a public channel, but keep x, y secret.
	Alice computes Y <sup>x</sup> mod p and Bob computes X <sup>y</sup> mod p and then each of them has the key
	$\mathcal{K} = q^{xy} \mod p.$
Public-key cryptography Open padlocks, for each user different ones, are freely available.	$K = q^{xy} \mod p.$ An eavesdropper seems to need, in order to determine x from X, q, p and y from Y, q,
Public-key cryptography Open padlocks, for each user different ones, are freely available. Only legitimate user has key from his padlocks. <i>Transmission</i> : Put the message into the box of the intended receiver, close the padlock and send the box.	$\mathcal{K} = q^{xy} \mod p.$

KEY DISTRIBUTION / AGREEMENT	MAN-IN-THE-MIDDLE ATTACKS
<ul> <li>One should distinguish between key distribution and key agreement.</li> <li>Key distribution is a mechanism whereby one party chooses a secret key and then transmits it to another party or parties.</li> <li>Key agreement is a protocol whereby two (or more) parties jointly establish a secret key by communication over a public channel.</li> <li>The objective of key distribution or key agreement protocols is that, at the end of the protocols, the two parties involved both have possession of the same key k, and the value of k is not known (at all) to any other party.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The following attack, by a man-in-the-middle, is possible against the Diffie-Hellman key establishment protocol.</li> <li>Eve chooses an exponent z.</li> <li>Eve intercepts q<sup>x</sup> and q<sup>y</sup>.</li> <li>Eve sends q<sup>z</sup> to both Alice and Bob. (After that Alice believes she has received q<sup>y</sup> and Bob believes he has received q<sup>x</sup>.)</li> <li>Eve computes K<sub>A</sub> = q<sup>xz</sup> (mod p) and K<sub>B</sub> = q<sup>yz</sup> (mod p). Alice, not realizing that Eve is in the middle, also computes K<sub>A</sub> and Bob, not realizing that Eve is in the middle, also computes K<sub>B</sub>.</li> <li>When Alice sends a message to Bob, encrypted with K<sub>A</sub>, Eve intercepts it, decrypts it, then encrypts it with K<sub>B</sub> and sends it to Bob.</li> <li>Bob decrypts the message with K<sub>B</sub> and obtains the message. At this point he has no reason to think that communication was insecure.</li> <li>Meanwhile, Eve enjoys reading Alice's message.</li> </ul>
prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 5/44	prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 6/44
BLOOM's KEY PRE-DISTRIBUTION PROTOCOL	SECURE COMMUNICATION with SECRET-KEY CRYPTOSYSTEMS
<ul> <li>allows a trusted authority (Trent - TA) to distribute secret keys to n(n-1)/2 pairs of n users.</li> <li>Let a large prime p &gt; n be publicly known. Steps of the protocol: <ul> <li>Each user U in the network is assigned, by Trent, a unique public number r<sub>U</sub> &lt; p.</li> <li>Trent chooses three random numbers a, b and c, smaller than p.</li> <li>For each user U, Trent calculates two numbers</li> <li>a<sub>U</sub> = (a + br<sub>U</sub>) mod p, b<sub>U</sub> = (b + cr<sub>U</sub>) mod p</li> <li>and sends them via his secure channel to U.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Each user U creates the polynomial <ul> <li>g<sub>U</sub>(x) = a<sub>U</sub> + b<sub>U</sub>(x).</li> </ul> </li> <li>If Alice (A) wants to send a message to Bob (B), then Alice computes her key K<sub>AB</sub> = g<sub>A</sub>(r<sub>B</sub>) and Bob computes his key K<sub>BA</sub> = g<sub>B</sub>(r<sub>A</sub>).</li> <li>It is easy to see that K<sub>AB</sub> = K<sub>BA</sub> and therefore Alice and Bob can now use their (identical) keys to communicate using some secret-key cryptosystem.</li> </ul>	and without any need for secret key distribution (Shamir's "no-key algorithm") Basic assumption: Each user X has its own secret encryption function $e_X$ secret decryption function $d_X$ and all these functions commute (to form a commutative cryptosystem). Communication protocol with which Alice can send a message w to Bob. Alice sends $e_A(w)$ to Bob Bob sends $e_B(e_A(w))$ to Alice Alice sends $d_A(e_B(e_A(w))) = e_B(w)$ to Bob Bob performs the decryption to get $d_B(e_B(w)) = w$ . Disadvantage: 3 communications are needed (in such a context 3 is a much too large number).

prof. Jozef Gruska	IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA	7/44	prof. Jozef Gruska	IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA	8/44

CRYPTOGRAPHY and COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY	COMPUTATIONALLY INFEASIBLE PROBLEMS
Modern cryptography uses such encryption methods that no "enemy" can have enough computational power and time to do decryption (even those capable to use thousands of supercomputers during tens of years for encryption). Modern cryptography is based on negative and positive results of complexity theory – on the fact that for some algorithm problems no efficient algorithm seem to exists, surprisingly, and for some "small" modifications of these problems, surprisingly, simple, fast and good (randomized) algorithms do exist. Examples: Integer factorization: Given $n(=pq)$ , it is, in general, unfeasible, to find $p$ , $q$ . There is a list of "most wanted to factor integers". Top recent successes, using thousands of computers for months. (*) Factorization of $2^{2^9} + 1$ with 155 digits (1996) (**) Factorization of a "typical" 155-digits integer (1999) Primes recognition: Is a given $n$ a prime? – fast randomized algorithms exist (1977). The existence of polynomial deterministic algorithms has been shown only in 2002	Discrete logarithm problem: Given $x, y, n$ , determine integer a such that $y \equiv x^{a} \pmod{n}$ – infeasible in general. Discrete square root problem: Given integers $y, n$ , compute an integer $x$ such that $y \equiv x^{2} \pmod{n}$ – infeasible in general, easy if factorization of $n$ is known Knapsack problem: Given a (knapsack - integer) vector $X = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})$ and a (integer capacity) $c$ , find a binary vector $(b_{1}, \dots, b_{n})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}x_{i} = c$ . Problem is <i>NP</i> -hard in general, but easy if $x_{i} > \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{j}, 1 < i \leq n$ .
prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 9/44	prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 10/44
ONE-WAY FUNCTIONS	TRAPDOOR ONE-WAY FUNCTIONS
Informally, a function $F : N \to N$ is said to be one-way function if it is easily computable - in polynomial time - but any computation of its inverse is infeasible. A one-way permutation is a 1-1 one-way function.	The key concept for design of public-key cryptosystems is that of trapdoor one-way
<ul> <li>- in polynomial time - but any computation of its inverse is infeasible.</li> <li>A one-way permutation is a 1-1 one-way function.</li> </ul>	The key concept for design of public-key cryptosystems is that of trapdoor one-way functions.
<ul> <li>- in polynomial time - but any computation of its inverse is infeasible.</li> <li>A one-way permutation is a 1-1 one-way function.</li> </ul>	The key concept for design of public-key cryptosystems is that of trapdoor one-way

EXAMPLE - COMPUTER PASSWORDS	LAMPORT's ONE-TIME PASSWORDS
A naive solution is to keep in computer a file with entries as	One-way functions can be used to create a sequence of passwords:
login CLINTON password BUSH,	Alice chooses a random w and computes, using a one-way function h, a sequence of passwords
that is with logins and their passwords. This is not sufficiently safe.	$w, h(w), h(h(w)), \ldots, h^n(w)$
A more safe method is to keep in the computer a file with entries as	<ul> <li>Alice then transfers securely "the initial secret" w<sub>0</sub> = h<sup>n</sup>(w) to Bob.</li> <li>The i-th authentication, 0 &lt; i &lt; n + 1, is performed as follows:</li> </ul>
login CLINTON password BUSH one-way function $f_c$	
The idea is that BUSH is a "public" password and CLINTON is the only one that	Alice sends $w_i = h^{n-i}(w)$ to Bob for I = 1, 2,,n-1 
knows a "secret" password, say MADONNA, such that	$bob cliecks whether w_{i-1} - n(w_i).$
$f_c(MADONNA) = BUSH$	When the number of identifications reaches $n$ , a new $w$ has to be chosen.
prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 13/44	prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 14/44
GENERAL KNAPSACK PROBLEM – UNFEASIBLE	KNAPSACK ENCODING – BASIC IDEAS
<b>GENERAL KNAPSACK PROBLEM – UNFEASIBLE</b> <b>KNAPSACK PROBLEM:</b> Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ .	Let a (knapsack) vector
<b>KNAPSACK PROBLEM:</b> Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Knapsack problem with superincreasing vector – easy	Let a (knapsack) vector $A=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$
<b>KNAPSACK PROBLEM:</b> Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Knapsack problem with superincreasing vector – easy <b>Problem</b> Given a superincreasing integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ (i.e.	Let a (knapsack) vector $A=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ be given.
<b>KNAPSACK PROBLEM:</b> Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Knapsack problem with superincreasing vector – easy <b>Problem</b> Given a superincreasing integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ (i.e. $x_i > \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j, i > 1$ ) and an integer c,	Let a (knapsack) vector $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be given. Encoding of a (binary) message $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ by A is done by the vector/vector multiplication:
<b>KNAPSACK PROBLEM:</b> Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Knapsack problem with superincreasing vector – easy <b>Problem</b> Given a superincreasing integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ (i.e.	Let a (knapsack) vector $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be given. Encoding of a (binary) message $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ by A is done by the vector/vector multiplication: $AB^T = c$
KNAPSACK PROBLEM: Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Knapsack problem with superincreasing vector $-$ easy Problem Given a superincreasing integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ (i.e. $x_i > \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j, i > 1$ ) and an integer $c$ , determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Algorithm $-$ to solve knapsack problems with superincreasing vectors: for $i \leftarrow$ downto 2 do	Let a (knapsack) vector $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be given. Encoding of a (binary) message $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ by A is done by the vector/vector multiplication: $AB^T = c$ and results in the cryptotext c.
KNAPSACK PROBLEM: Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Knapsack problem with superincreasing vector – easy Problem Given a superincreasing integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ (i.e. $x_i > \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j, i > 1$ ) and an integer $c$ , determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Algorithm – to solve knapsack problems with superincreasing vectors: for $i \leftarrow$ downto 2 do if $c \ge 2x_i$ then terminate {no solution} else if $c > x_i$ then $b_i \leftarrow 1$ ; $c \leftarrow c - x_i$ ;	Let a (knapsack) vector $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be given. Encoding of a (binary) message $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ by A is done by the vector/vector multiplication: $AB^T = c$
KNAPSACK PROBLEM: Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Knapsack problem with superincreasing vector $- easy$ Problem Given a superincreasing integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ (i.e. $x_i > \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j, i > 1$ ) and an integer $c$ , determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Algorithm – to solve knapsack problems with superincreasing vectors: for $i \leftarrow downto \ 2 \ do$ if $c \ge 2x_i$ then terminate {no solution} else if $c > x_i$ then $b_i \leftarrow 1; c \leftarrow c - x_i;$ else $b_i = 0;$ if $c = x_1$ then $b_1 \leftarrow 1$	Let a (knapsack) vector $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be given. Encoding of a (binary) message $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ by A is done by the vector/vector multiplication: $AB^T = c$ and results in the cryptotext c. Decoding of c requires to solve the knapsack problem for the instant given by the knapsack vector A and the cryptotext c. The problem is that decoding seems to be infeasible.
KNAPSACK PROBLEM: Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Knapsack problem with superincreasing vector – easy Problem Given a superincreasing integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ (i.e. $x_i > \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j, i > 1$ ) and an integer $c$ , determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Algorithm – to solve knapsack problems with superincreasing vectors: for $i \leftarrow$ downto 2 do if $c \ge 2x_i$ then terminate {no solution} else if $c > x_i$ then $b_i \leftarrow 1; c \leftarrow c - x_i;$ else $b_i = 0;$	Let a (knapsack) vector $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be given. Encoding of a (binary) message $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ by A is done by the vector/vector multiplication: $AB^T = c$ and results in the cryptotext c. Decoding of c requires to solve the knapsack problem for the instant given by the knapsack vector A and the cryptotext c.
KNAPSACK PROBLEM: Given an integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ and an integer $c$ . Determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Knapsack problem with superincreasing vector $-$ easy Problem Given a superincreasing integer-vector $X = (x_1,, x_n)$ (i.e. $x_i \ge \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j, i \ge 1$ ) and an integer $c$ , determine a binary vector $B = (b_1,, b_n)$ (if it exists) such that $XB^T = c$ . Algorithm – to solve knapsack problems with superincreasing vectors: for $i \leftarrow$ downto 2 do if $c \ge 2x_i$ then terminate {no solution} else if $c > x_i$ then $b_i \leftarrow 1; c \leftarrow c - x_i;$ else $b_i = 0;$ if $c = x_1$ then $b_1 \leftarrow 1$ else if $c = 0$ then $b_1 \leftarrow 0;$	Let a (knapsack) vector $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be given. Encoding of a (binary) message $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ by A is done by the vector/vector multiplication: $AB^T = c$ and results in the cryptotext c. Decoding of c requires to solve the knapsack problem for the instant given by the knapsack vector A and the cryptotext c. The problem is that decoding seems to be infeasible. Example

DESIGN of KNAPSACK CRYPTOSYSTEMS	DESIGN of KNAPSACK CRYPTOSYSTEMS – EXAMPLE
<ul> <li>Choose a superincreasing vector X = (x<sub>1</sub>,,x<sub>n</sub>).</li> <li>Choose m, u such that m &gt; 2x<sub>n</sub>, gcd(m, u) = 1.</li> <li>Compute u<sup>-1</sup> mod m, X' = (x'<sub>1</sub>,,x'<sub>n</sub>), x'<sub>i</sub> = ux<sub>i</sub> mod m.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Example} & X = (1,2,4,9,18,35,75,151,302,606) \\ & m = 1250, \mbox{ u} = 41 \\ & X' = (41,82,164,369,738,185,575,1191,1132,1096) \\ In order to encrypt an English plaintext, we first encode its letters by 5-bit numbers 00000, A - 00001, B - 00010, and then divide the resulting binary strings into blocks of length 10.                                   $
Cryptosystem:X' - public key X, u, m - trapdoor informationEncryption: of a binary vector w of length n: $c = X'w$ Decryption: compute $c' = u^{-1}c \mod m$ and solve the knapsack problem with X and c'.Lemma Let X, m, u, X', c, c' be as defined above. Then the knapsack problem instances $(X, c')$ and $(X', c)$ have at most one solution, and if one of them has a solution, then the second one has the same solution.Proof Let X'w = c. Then $c' \equiv u^{-1}c \equiv u^{-1}X'w \equiv u^{-1}uXw \equiv Xw (mod m).$ Since X is superincreasing and $m > 2x_n$ we have $(Xw) \mod m = Xw$ and thereforeprof. Jozef Gruska10/24	Plaintext: Encoding of AFRICA results in vectors $w_1 = (0000100110)$ $w_2 = (1001001001)$ $w_3 = (0001100001)$ Encryption: $c_{1'} = X'w_1 = 3061$ $c_{2'} = X'w_2 = 2081$ $c_{3'} = X'w_3 = 2203$ Cryptotext: (3061,2081,2203)         Decryption of cryptotexts:       (2163, 2116, 1870, 3599)         By multiplying with $u^{-1} = 61$ (mod 1250) we get new cryptotexts (several new $c'$ )       (693, 326, 320, 789)         And, in the binary form, solutions B of equations $XB^T = c'$ have the form       (1101001001, 0110100010, 0000100010, 1011100101)         Therefore, the resulting plaintext is:       ZIMBABWE
pion. Soler of data in 19654 5. 1 dbille key eryptosystems, h. Key exchange, knapsack, how in 1744	
STORY of KNAPSACK	KNAPSACK CRYPTOSYSTEM – COMMENTS

McELIECE CRYPTOSYSTEM	McELIECE CRYPTOSYSTEM – DESIGN
McEliece cryptosystem is based on a similar design principle as the Knapsack cryptosystem. McEliece cryptosystem is formed by transforming an easy to break cryptosystem into a cryptosystem that is hard to break because it seems to be based on a problem that is, in general, <i>NP</i> -hard. The underlying fact is that the decision version of the decryption problem for linear codes is in general <i>NP</i> -complete. However, for special types of linear codes polynomial-time decryption algorithms exist. One such a class of linear codes, the so-called Goppa codes, are used to design McEliece cryptosystem. Goppa codes are $[2^m, n - mt, 2t + 1]$ -codes, where $n = 2^m$ . (McEliece suggested to use $m = 10, t = 50$ .)	Goppa codes are $[2^m, n - mt, 2t + 1]$ -codes, where $n = 2^m$ . Design of McEliece cryptosystems. Let • G be a generating matrix for an $[n, k, d]$ Goppa code C; • S be a $k \times k$ binary matrix invertible over $Z_2$ ; • P be an $n \times n$ permutation matrix; • G' = SGP. Plaintexts: $P = (Z_2)^k$ ; cryptotexts: $C = (Z_2)^n$ , key: $K = (G, S, P, G')$ , message: $w$ G' is made public, $G, S, P$ are kept secret. Encryption: $e_K(w, e) = wG' + e$ , where $e$ is any binary vector of length $n$ & weight $t$ . Decryption of a cryptotext $c = wG' + e \in (Z_2)^n$ . • Compute $c_1 = cP^{-1} = wSGPP^{-1} + eP^{-1} = wSG + eP^{-1}$ • Decode $c_1$ to get $w_1 = wS$ , • Compute $w = w_1S^{-1}$
prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 21/44	prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 22/44
prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 21/44 COMMENTS on McELIECE CRYPTOSYSTEM	prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 22/44 FINAL COMMENTS

23/44

SATELLITE VERSION of ONE-TIME PAD	RSA CRYPTOSYSTEM
<text><text><text><text><text><text><page-footer><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></page-footer></text></text></text></text></text></text>	The most important public-key cryptosystem is the RSA cryptosystem on which one can also illustrate a variety of important ideas of modern public-key cryptography. For example, we will discuss various possible attacks on the RSA cryptosystem and problems related to security of RSA. A special attention will be given in Chapter 7 to the problem of factorization of integers that play such an important role for security of RSA. In doing that we will illustrate modern distributed techniques to factorize very large integers.
DESIGN and USE of RSA CRYPTOSYSTEM	CORRECTNESS of RSA
Invented in 1978 by Rivest, Shamir, Adleman Basic idea: prime multiplication is very easy, integer factorization seems to be unfeasible. Design of RSA cryptosystems Choose two large s-bit primes p,q, s in [512,1024], and denote	Let $c = w^e \mod n$ be the cryptotext for a plaintext $w$ , in the cryptosystem with $n = pq, ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}, \gcd(d, \phi(n)) = 1$ In such a case $w \equiv c^d \mod n$
$n=pq, \phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$	and, if the decryption is unique, $w = c^d \mod n$ . Proof Since $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ , there exist a $j \in N$ such that $ed = j\phi(n) + 1$ . <b>Case 1.</b> Neither $p$ nor $q$ divides $w$ .
$\gcd(d,\phi(n))=1$ and compute $e=d^{-1}({ m mod}\;\phi(n))$	In such a case $gcd(n, w) = 1$ and by the Euler's Totient Theorem we get that $c^d = w^{ed} = w^{j\phi(n)+1} \equiv w \pmod{n}$

DESIGN and USE of RSA CRYPTOSYSTEM	RSA CHALLENGE
Example of the design and of the use of RSA cryptosystems. ■ By choosing $p = 41$ , $q = 61$ we get $n = 2501$ , $\phi(n) = 2400$ ■ By choosing $d = 2087$ we get $e = 23$ ■ By choosing other values of $d$ we would get other values of $e$ . Let us choose the first pair of encryption/decryption exponents ( $e = 23$ and $d = 2087$ ). Plaintext: KARLSRUHE Encoding: 100017111817200704 Since $10^3 < n < 10^4$ , the numerical plaintext is divided into blocks of 3 digits $\Rightarrow$ 6 plaintext integers are obtained 100, 017, 111, 817, 200, 704 Encryption: 100 <sup>23</sup> mod 2501, 17 <sup>23</sup> mod 2501, 111 <sup>23</sup> mod 2501 817 <sup>23</sup> mod 2501, 200 <sup>23</sup> mod 2501, 704 <sup>23</sup> mod 2501 provides cryptotexts: 2306, 1893, 621, 1380, 490, 313 Decryption: 2306 <sup>2087</sup> mod 2501 = 100, 1893 <sup>2087</sup> mod 2501 = 17 621 <sup>2087</sup> mod 2501 = 111, 1380 <sup>2087</sup> mod 2501 = 817 490 <sup>2087</sup> mod 2501 = 200, 313 <sup>2087</sup> mod 2501 = 704	One of the first descriptions of RSA was in the paper. Martin Gardner: Mathematical games, Scientific American, 1977 and in this paper RSA inventors presented the following challenge. Decrypt the cryptotext: 9686 9613 7546 2206 1477 1409 2225 4355 8829 0575 9991 1245 7431 9874 6951 2093 0816 2982 2514 5708 3569 3147 6622 8839 8962 8013 3919 9055 1829 9451 5781 5154 encrypted using the RSA cryptosystem with 129 digit number, called also RSA129 n: 114 381 625 757 888 867 669 235 779 976 146 612 010 218 296 721 242 362 562 561 842 935 706 935 245 733 897 830 597 123 513 958 705 058 989 075 147 599 290 026 879 543 541. and with $e = 9007$ . The problem was solved in 1994 by first factorizing n into one 64-bit prime and one 65-bit prime, and then computing the plaintext THE MAGIC WORDS ARE SQUEMISH OSSIFRAGE
prof. Jozef Gruska         IV054         5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA         29/44	prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 30/44
HOW to DESIGN REALLY GOOD RSA CRYPTOSYSTEMS?	PRIME RECOGNITION and FACTORIZATION
<ul> <li>I how to choose large primes p, q? Choose randomly a large integer p, and verify, using a randomized algorithm, whether p is prime. If not, check p + 2, p + 4, From the Prime Number Theorem it follows that there are approximately</li> <li>2<sup>d</sup>/<sub>log</sub> 2<sup>d</sup> - 2<sup>d-1</sup>/<sub>log</sub> 2<sup>d-1</sup></li> <li>d bit primes. (A probability that a 512-bit number is prime is 0.00562.)</li> <li>Mat kind of relations should be between p and q?</li> <li>1 Difference  p - q  should be neither too small nor too large.</li> <li>2 gcd(p - 1, q - 1) should not be large.</li> <li>3 Both p - 1 and q - 1 should contain large prime factors.</li> <li>4 Quite ideal case: q, p should be safe primes - such that also (p-1)/2 and (q - 1)/2 are primes. (83, 107, 10<sup>100</sup> - 166517 are examples of safe primes).</li> <li>How to choose e and d?</li> <li>3.1 Neither d nor e should be small.</li> <li>2 d should not be smaller than n<sup>4</sup>. (For d &lt; n<sup>4</sup> a polynomial time algorithm is known to determine d).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The key problems for the development of RSA cryptosystem are that of prime recognition and integer factorization.</li> <li>On August 2002, the first polynomial time algorithm was discovered that allows to determine whether a given <i>m</i> bit integer is a prime. Algorithm works in time O(m<sup>12</sup>).</li> <li>Fast randomized algorithms for prime recognition has been known since 1977. One of the simplest one is due to Rabin and will be presented later.</li> <li>For integer factorization situation is somehow different.</li> <li>No polynomial time classical algorithm is known.</li> <li>Simple, but not efficient factorization algorithms are known that allowed to factorize, using enormous computation power, surprisingly large integers.</li> <li>Progress in integer factorization, due to progress in algorithms and technology, has been recently enormous.</li> <li>Polynomial time quantum algorithms for integer factorization are known since 1994 (P. Shor).</li> <li>Several simple and some sophisticated factorization algorithms will be presented and illustrated in the following.</li> </ul>

RABIN-MILLER'S PRIME RECOGNITION	FACTORIZATION of 512-BITS and 663-BITS NUMBERS
<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	<text><text><text><text><text><text><page-footer></page-footer></text></text></text></text></text></text>
LARGE NUMBERS	DESIGN OF GOOD RSA CRYPTOSYSTEMS
	Claim 1. Difference $ p - q $ should not be small.

Hindus named many large numbers - one having 153 digits.

Romans initially had no terms for numbers larger than  $10^4$ .

Greeks had a popular belief that no number is larger than the total count of sand grains needed to fill the universe.

> Large numbers with special names: googolplex-10<sup>10<sup>100</sup></sup> duotrigintillion=googol-10<sup>100</sup>

## FACTORIZATION of very large NUMBERS

**W. Keller** factorized  $F_{23471}$  which has  $10^{7000}$  digits.

**J. Harley** factorized:  $10^{10^{1000}} + 1$ .

One factor: 316, 912, 650, 057, 350, 374, 175, 801, 344, 000, 001

1992 E. Crandal, Doenias proved, using a computer that  $F_{22}$ , which has more than million of digits, is composite (but no factor of  $F_{22}$  is known).

Number  $10^{10^{10^{34}}}$  was used to develop a theory of the distribution of prime numbers.

Indeed, if 
$$|p - q|$$
 is small, and  $p > q$ , then  $\frac{(p+q)}{2}$  is only slightly larger than  $\sqrt{n}$  because

$$\frac{(p+q)^2}{4} - n = \frac{(p-q)^2}{4}$$

In addition  $\frac{(p+q)^2}{4} - n$  is a square, say  $y^2$ . In order to factor n, it is then enough to test  $x > \sqrt{n}$  until x is found such that  $x^2 - n$  is a square, say  $y^2$ . In such a case

$$p+q=2x, p-q=2y$$
 and therefore  $p=x+y, q=x-y$ .

Claim 2. gcd(p-1, q-1) should not be large. Indeed, in the opposite case s = lcm(p-1, q-1) is much smaller than  $\phi(n)$  If

 $d'e \equiv 1 \mod s$ .

then, for some integer k,

$$c^d \equiv w^{ed} \equiv w^{ks+1} \equiv w \mod n$$

since p-1|s, q-1|s and therefore  $w^{ks} \equiv 1 \mod p$  and  $w^{ks+1} \equiv w \mod q$ . Hence, d'can serve as a decryption exponent.

Moreover, in such a case s can be obtained by testing.

Question Is there enough primes (to choose again and again new ones)?

No problem, the number of primes of length 512 bit or less exceeds  $10^{150}$ prof. Jozef Gruska

IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA

36/44

HOW IMPORTANT is FACTORIZATION for BREAKING RSA?	SECURITY of RSA in PRACTICE
<ul> <li>If integer factorization is feasible, then RSA is breakable.</li> <li>There is no proof that factorization is indeed needed to break RSA.</li> <li>If a method of breaking RSA would provide an effective way to get a trapdoor information, then factorization could be done effectively.</li> <li>Theorem Any algorithm to compute φ(n) can be used to factor integers with the same complexity.</li> <li>Theorem Any algorithm for computing d can be converted into a break randomized algorithm for factoring integers with the same complexity.</li> <li>There are setups in which RSA can be broken without factoring modulus n.</li> <li>Example An agency chooses p, q and computes a modulus n = pq that is publicized and common to all users U<sub>1</sub>, U<sub>2</sub>, and also encryption exponents e<sub>1</sub>, e<sub>2</sub>, are publicized. Each user U<sub>i</sub> gets his decryption exponent d<sub>i</sub>.</li> <li>In such a setting any user is able to find in deterministic quadratic time another user's decryption exponent.</li> </ul>	None of the numerous attempts to develop attacks on RSA has turned out to be successful. There are various results showing that it is impossible to obtain even only partial information about the plaintext from the cryptotext produced by the RSA cryptosystem. We will show that were the following two functions, that are computationally polynomially equivalent, be efficiently computable, then the RSA cryptosystem with the encryption (decryption) exponents $e_k(d_k)$ would be breakable. $parity_{ek}(c) =$ the least significant bit of such an w that $e_k(w) = c$ ; $half_{ek}(c) = 0$ if $0 \le w < \frac{n}{2}$ and $half_{ek}(c) = 1$ if $\frac{n}{2} \le w \le n-1$ We show two important properties of the functions half and parity. Polynomial time computational equivalence of the functions half and parity follows from the following identities $half_{ek}(c) = half_{ek}((c \times e_k(2)) \mod n$ $parity_{ek}(c) = half_{ek}(w_1)e_k(w_2) = e_k(w_1w_2).$ There is an efficient algorithm to determine plaintexts w from the cryptotexts c obtained by RSA-decryption provided efficiently computable function half can be used as the oracle:
prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 37/44	prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 38/44
SECURITY of RSA in PRACTICE I	SECURITY of RSA in PRACTICE II
SECURITY of RSA in PRACTICE I         BREAKING RSA USING AN ORACLE         Algorithm:         for $i = 0$ to $\lceil lgn \rceil$ do $c_i \leftarrow half(c); c \leftarrow (c \times e_k(2)) \mod n$ $l \leftarrow 0; u \leftarrow n$ for $i = 0$ to $\lceil lgn \rceil$ do $m \leftarrow (i + u)/2;$	There are many results for RSA showing that certain parts are as hard as whole. For example any feasible algorithm to determine the last bit of the plaintext can be converted into a feasible algorithm to determine the whole plaintext. <b>Example</b> Assume that we have an algorithm $H$ to determine whether a plaintext $x$ designed in RSA with public key $e, n$ is smaller than $\frac{n}{2}$ if the cryptotext $y$ is given.
BREAKING RSA USING AN ORACLE         Algorithm:         for $i = 0$ to $\lceil \lg n \rceil$ do $c_i \leftarrow half(c); c \leftarrow (c \times e_k(2)) \mod n$ $l \leftarrow 0; u \leftarrow n$ for $i = 0$ to $\lceil \lg n \rceil$ do	There are many results for RSA showing that certain parts are as hard as whole. For example any feasible algorithm to determine the last bit of the plaintext can be converted into a feasible algorithm to determine the whole plaintext. <b>Example</b> Assume that we have an algorithm <i>H</i> to determine whether a plaintext <i>x</i> designed in RSA with public key <i>e</i> , <i>n</i> is smaller than $\frac{n}{2}$ if the cryptotext <i>y</i> is given. We construct an algorithm <i>A</i> to determine in which of the intervals $(\frac{jn}{8}, \frac{(j+1)n}{8}), 0 \le j \le 7$ the plaintext lies.
BREAKING RSA USING AN ORACLE         Algorithm:         for $i = 0$ to $\lceil lgn \rceil$ do $c_i \leftarrow half(c); c \leftarrow (c \times e_k(2)) \mod n$ $l \leftarrow 0; u \leftarrow n$ for $i = 0$ to $\lceil lgn \rceil$ do $m \leftarrow (i + u)/2;$ if $c_i = 1$ then $i \leftarrow m$ else $u \leftarrow m;$	There are many results for RSA showing that certain parts are as hard as whole. For example any feasible algorithm to determine the last bit of the plaintext can be converted into a feasible algorithm to determine the whole plaintext. <b>Example</b> Assume that we have an algorithm $H$ to determine whether a plaintext $x$ designed in RSA with public key $e, n$ is smaller than $\frac{n}{2}$ if the cryptotext $y$ is given. We construct an algorithm $A$ to determine in which of the intervals $(\frac{jn}{8}, \frac{(j+1)n}{8}), 0 \le j \le 7$

39/44

IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA

40/44

TWO USERS SHOULD not USE THE SAME MODULUS	COMMON MODULUS ATTACK
Otherwise, users, say A and B, would be able to decrypt messages of each other using the following method. Decryption: B computes $f = \gcd(e_Bd_B - 1, e_A), m = \frac{e_Bd_B - 1}{f}$ $e_Bd_B - 1 = k\phi(n) \text{ for some } k$ It holds: $\gcd(e_A, \phi(n)) = 1 \Rightarrow \gcd(f, \phi(n)) = 1$ and therefore $m \text{ is a multiple of } \phi(n).$ $m \text{ and } e_A \text{ have no common divisor and therefore there exist integers } u, v \text{ such that}$ $um + ve_A = 1$ Since m is a multiple of $\phi(n)$ , we have $ve_A = 1 - um \equiv 1 \mod \phi(n)$ and since $e_Ad_A \equiv 1 \mod \phi(n)$ , we have $(v - d_A)e_A \equiv 0 \mod \phi(n)$ and therefore $v \equiv d_A \mod \phi(n)$ is a decryption exponent of A. Indeed, for a cryptotext c: $c^v \equiv w^{e_Av} \equiv w^{e_Ad_A + c\phi(n)} \equiv w \mod (n)$	Let a message $w$ be encoded with a modulus $n$ and two encryption exponents $e_1$ and $e_2$ such that $gcd(e_1, e_2) = 1$ . Therefore $c_1 = w^{e_1} \mod n$ , $c_2 = w^{e_2} \mod n$ ; Then $w = c_1^a c_2^b$ , where, $a, b$ are such that $a \cdot e_1 + b \cdot e_2 = 1$
prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 41/44 PRIVATE-KEY versus PUBLIC-KEY CRYPTOGRAPHY	prof. Jozef Gruska IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA 42/44 KERBEROS
<ul> <li>The prime advantage of public-key cryptography is increased security - the private keys do not ever need to be transmitted or revealed to anyone.</li> <li>Public key cryptography is not meant to replace secret-key cryptography, but rather to supplement it, to make it more secure.</li> <li>Example RSA and DES (AES) are usually combined as follows <ul> <li>The message is encrypted with a random DES key</li> <li>DES-key is encrypted message and RSA-encrypted DES-key are sent.</li> </ul> </li> <li>This protocol is called RSA digital envelope.</li> <li>In software (hardware) DES is generally about 100 (1000) times faster than RSA. If n users communicate with secrete-key cryptography, they need n (n - 1) / 2 keys. If n users communicate with public-key cryptography 2n keys are sufficient.</li> <li>Public-key cryptography allows spontaneous communication.</li> </ul>	We describe a very popular key distribution protocol with trusted authority TA with which each user A shares a secret key $K_A$ . To communicate with user B the user A asks TA for a session key (K) TA chooses a random session key K, a time-stamp T, and a lifetime limit L. TA computes $m_1 = e_{K_A}(K, ID(B), T, L);$ $m_2 = e_{K_B}(K, ID(B), T, L);$ and sends $m_1, m_2$ to A. A decrypts $m_1$ , recovers K, T, L, $ID(B)$ , computes $m_3 = e_K(ID(B), T)$ and sends $m_2$ and $m_3$ to B. B decrypts $m_2$ and $m_3$ , checks whether two values of T and of $ID(B)$ are the same. If so, B computes $m_4 = e_K(T + 1)$ and sends it to A. A decrypts $m_4$ and verifies that she got $T + 1$ .

43/44

prof. Jozef Gruska

IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA

44/44

IV054 5. Public-key cryptosystems, I. Key exchange, knapsack, RSA

prof. Jozef Gruska