Lecture 10 - Cryptography and Information Theory

Jan Bouda

FI MU

May 18, 2012

Jan Bouda (FI MU)

Lecture 10 - Cryptography and Information T

▲ ◆ 基 ◆ 基 · ク へ C May 18, 2012 1 / 14

- 4 ≣ ▶

Part I

Cryptosystem

Jan Bouda (FI MU)

Lecture 10 - Cryptography and Information T

▶ < ≣ ▶ ≣ ∽ ९ ୯ May 18, 2012 2 / 14

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Cryptosystem

- The traditional main goal of cryptography is to preserve secrecy of the message, i.e. to transform it in the way that no unauthorized person can read the message while it is easily readable by authorized persons.
- First applications of message secrecy are known from ancient times and served to keep secret military and diplomatic secrets, craftsmanship methods and also love letters.
- Craftsmanship secrets on earthen tablets in Ancient Summer.
- Secret love letters in Kamasutra.
- Spartian Scytale.
- Secrets hidden in a wax table or under hair of a slave.
- Caesar cipher.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Cryptosystem

Definition

A encryption system (cipher) is a five-tuple (P, C, K, E, D), where

- P is a finite set of possible plaintexts
- O is a finite set of possible ciphertexts
- **6** K is a finite set of possible keys
- For each k ∈ K there is an encryption rule e_k ∈ E and a corresponding decryption rule d_k ∈ D. Each e_k : P → C and d_k : C → P are functions such that d_k(e_k(x)) = x for every x ∈ P.

▲ @ ▶ ▲ ∃ ▶ ▲

Example

Example is e.g. the **shift cryptosystem**, sometimes known as the Caesar cipher. In this case $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{K} = \mathbb{Z}_{26}$. For $0 \le k \le 25$ we define

$$e_k(x) = (x+k) \bmod 26 \tag{1}$$

and

$$d_k(y) = (y - k) \bmod 26 \tag{2}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}$.

To derive a definition of perfect secret we assume that there is some a priori distribution on plaintexts described by the random variable X with distribution P(X = x). The key is chosen independently from the plaintext and described by the random variable K. Finally, ciphertext is described by the random variable Y that will be derived from X and K. Also, for $k \in \mathbf{K}$ we define $\mathbf{C}_k = \{e_k(x) | x \in \mathbf{X}\}$ as the set of all ciphertexts provided k is the key.

Now we can explicitly calculate the probability distribution of Y as

$$P(Y = y) = \sum_{k:y \in \mathbf{C}_k} P(K = k) P(X = d_k(y)).$$
(3)

Another quantity of interest is the probability of a particular ciphertext given a particular plaintex, easily derived as

$$P(Y = y | X = x) = \sum_{k:x=d_k(y)} P(K = k).$$
 (4)

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Definition

We say that the cryptosystem (P, C, K, E, D) achieves **perfect** (unconditional) secrecy if and only if for every $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ it holds that

$$P(X = x | Y = y) = P(X = x).$$
 (5)

In words, the a posteriori probability distribution of plaintext given the knowledge of ciphertext is the same as the a priori probability distribution of the plaintext.

Following our previous analysis we calculate the conditional probability of a (possibly insecure) cryptosystem as

$$P(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{P(X = x) \sum_{k:x = d_k(y)} P(K = k)}{\sum_{k:y \in \mathbf{C}_k} P(K = k) P(X = d_k(y))}.$$
 (6)

Theorem

Suppose the 26 keys in the Shift cipher are used with equal probability 1/26. Then for any plaintext distribution the Shift cipher achieves perfect secrecy.

Proof.

Recall that $\textbf{P}=\textbf{C}=\textbf{K}=\mathbb{Z}_{26}.$ First we compute the distribution of ciphertexts as

$$P(Y = y) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}} P(K = k) P(X = d_k(y))$$

= $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}} \frac{1}{26} P(X = y - k)$
= $\frac{1}{26} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}} P(X = y - k).$ (7)

Jan Bouda (FI MU)

Lecture 10 - Cryptography and Information T

May 18, 2012 8 / 14

Proof.

For fixed y the values $(y - k) \mod 26$ are a permutation of \mathbb{Z}_{26} and we have that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}} P(X = y - k) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}} P(X = x) = 1.$$
(8)

Thus for any $y \in \mathbf{Y}$ we have

$$P(Y=y)=\frac{1}{26}$$

Next, we have that

$$P(Y = y | X = x) = P(K \equiv (y - x) \pmod{26}) = \frac{1}{26}$$

for every x and y.

Proof.

Using the Bayes' theorem we have

$$P(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{P(X = x)P(Y = y | X = x)}{P(Y = y)} = \frac{P(X = x)\frac{1}{26}}{\frac{1}{26}}$$
(9)
= $p(X = x)$

what completes the proof.

The previous result shows that the shift cipher is unbreakable provided we use an independent key for each plaintext character.

A B A A B A

3

- If $P(X = x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbf{P}$, then we trivially obtain $P(X = x_0 | Y = y) = P(X = x_0)$. Therefore we consider only elements such that P(X = x) > 0.
- For such plaintexts we observe that P(X = x | Y = y) = P(X = x) is equivalent to P(Y = y | X = x) = P(Y = y).
- Let us suppose that P(Y = y) > 0 for all y ∈ C. Otherwise y can be excluded from C since it is useless.
- Fix x ∈ P. For each y ∈ C we have P(Y = y|X = x) = P(Y = y) > 0. Therefore for each y ∈ C there must be some key k ∈ K such that y = e_k(x). It follows that |K| ≥ |C|.
- The encryption is injective giving $|\mathbf{C}| \ge |\mathbf{P}|$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

Theorem (Shannon)

Let $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{D})$ be a cryptosystem such that $|\mathbf{P}| = |\mathbf{C}| = |\mathbf{K}|$. Then the cryptosystem provides perfect secrecy if and only if every key is used with equal probability $1/|\mathbf{K}|$, and for every $x \in \mathbf{P}$ and every $y \in \mathbf{C}$, there is a unique key k such that $e_k(x) = y$.

Proof.

Let us suppose the given cryptosystem achieves a perfect secrecy. As argued above for each x and y there must be at least one key such that $e_k(x) = y$. We have the inequalities

$$|\mathbf{C}| = |\{e_k(x) : k \in \mathbf{K}\}| \le |\mathbf{K}|.$$
(10)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Proof.

We assume that $|\mathbf{C}| = |\mathbf{K}|$ and therefore

$$|\{e_k(x):k\in\mathsf{K}\}|=|\mathsf{K}|$$

giving there do not exist two different keys $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $e_{k_1}(x) = e_{k_2}(x) = y$. hence, for every x and y there is exactly one k such that $e_k(x) = y$. Denote $n = |\mathbf{K}|$, let $\mathbf{P} = \{x_i | 1 \le i \le n\}$ and fix a ciphertext element y. We can name keys k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n in the way that $e_{k_i}(x_i) = y$. Using Bayes' theorem we have

$$P(X = x_i | Y = y) = \frac{P(Y = y | X = x_i)P(X = x_i)}{P(Y = y)}$$

= $\frac{P(K = k_i)P(X = x_i)}{P(Y = y)}.$ (11)

Lecture 10 - Cryptography and Information T

Proof.

The perfect secrecy condition gives $P(X = x_i | Y = y) = P(X = x_i)$ and we have $P(K = k_i) = P(Y = y)$. This gives that all keys are used with the same probability. Since there are $|\mathbf{K}|$ keys, the probability is $1/|\mathbf{K}|$. Conversely, suppose the conditions are satisfied and we want to show perfect secrecy. The proof is analogous to the proof of perfect secrecy of the Shift cipher.